

Complete Agenda

Democracy Service Swyddfa'r Cyngor CAERNARFON Gwynedd LL55 1SH

Meeting

SPECIAL MEETING OF THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Date and Time

10.00 am, TUESDAY, 18TH APRIL, 2023

(COMMITTEE MEMBERS ONLY TO CONVENE AT 9.00AM)

Location

Virtual Meeting - Zoom

(For public access to the meeting, please contact us)

Contact Point

Eirian Roberts

01286 679018

eirianroberts3@gwynedd.llyw.cymru

(DISTRIBUTED 6/04/23)

STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Membership

Elected Members (3)

Councillors

Anne Lloyd-Jones Beth Lawton Dewi Owen

Independent Members (with a vote) (5)

Aled Jones
David Wareing
Hywel Eifion Jones
Mark Jones
[vacant seat]

Community Committee Member (with a vote) (1)

Richard Parry Hughes

AGENDA

1. APOLOGIES

To receive any apologies for absence.

2. DECLARATION OF PERSONAL INTEREST

To receive any declarations of personal interest.

3. ALLEGATION OF A BREACH OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT 4-37 REFERRED TO THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE OMBUDSMAN FOR WALES

To consider the report of the Propriety and Elections Manager.

Agenda Item 3

COMMITTEE	The Standards Committee
DATE:	18 April 2023
TITLE:	Report by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales on an investigation into a complaint against Councillor Louise Hughes of Cyngor Gwynedd
AUTHOR:	Siôn Huws, Propriety and Elections Manager
ACTION:	To decide on a complaint against Cllr. Louise Hughes of breaching the Members' Code of Conduct for Cyngor Gwynedd

Background

- 1. Following a complaint that Councillor Louise Hughes had breached the code of conduct for members of Gwynedd Council, the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales decided to conduct an investigation. The Ombudsman concluded that the matter should be referred to the Monitoring Officer for consideration by the Standards Committee.
- 2. On 13 February 2023 the Committee, in accordance with the Local Government Investigations (Functions of Monitoring Officers and Standards Committees) (Wales) Regulations 2001 ("the Regulations"), decided that Councillor Hughes should be given the opportunity to make representations, either orally or in writing in respect of the findings of the investigation and any allegation that he or she has failed, or may have failed, to comply with the authority's code of conduct.
- 3. The procedure for dealing with allegations made against members and referred to the Committee can be found in **Appendix A** to this report. Should the Committee be required to consider whether or not to impose a sanction it will have regard to the Sanctions Guidance produced by the Adjudication Panel for Wales, a copy of which is attached as **Appendix B** to this report.
- 4. The Ombudsman has produced a report on his investigation. Members will be sent this report separately as **Appendix C**, together with any further correspondence from the member and the Ombudsman (**Appendix Ch**)
- 5. The Standards Committee's procedure includes a step for the Advising Officer and the Chair to consider the need for any further steps to ensure that all the necessary information is available to the Committee. Following this discussion it was decided that it would be beneficial for the Committee to have confirmation from the authority as to exactly what the situation is in terms of members' access to the Council's translation service. The Democratic Services and Language Manager was therefore contacted and the questions raised and the answers will be sent to members separately as **Appendix D**. The Member and the

Ombudsman were given an opportunity to submit further observations on this evidence.

Observations were received form the Ombudsman's and are also included in the appendix

6. (These appendices are not made public in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Standards Committees, Investigations, Dispensations and Referral) (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2016 (which amended the Standards Committees (Wales) Regulations 2001)

Recommendation

- 7. That the Committee consider the complaint against Councillor Hughes. The Regulations (reg.9) provide that, after hearing any representations, the Committee must come to one of the following decisions:
- (a) that there is no evidence of any failure to comply with the authority's code of conduct and that therefore no action needs to be taken in respect of the matters which are the subject of the investigation;
- (b) that the member has failed to comply with the authority's code of conduct but that no action needs to be taken in respect of that failure;
- (c) that the member of the authority has failed to comply with the authority's code of conduct and should be censured, or
- (d) that the member of the authority has failed to comply with the authority's code of conduct and should be suspended or partially suspended from being a member of that authority for a period not exceeding six months

GWYNEDD COUNCIL STANDARDS COMMITTEE

PROCEDURE FOR HEARINGS

BACKGROUND

- 1. These arrangements will be followed when the Standards Committee ('the Committee') is required to decide upon a complaint that a member has breached the Code of Conduct. If there is any conflict between this document and the provisions of Part III of the Local Government Act 2000 and relevant regulations, then the statutory provisions will prevail.
- 2 The Committee will have to decide on a complaint against a member either:
 - When a complaint is referred to the Monitoring Officer by the Ombudsman to investigate and then report to the Committee; or
 - When the Ombudsman refers his report to the Monitoring Officer to present to the Committee.

There are two possible steps to the procedure of considering a complaint:

THE FIRST STEP

THE FIRST MEETING OF THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE (INITIAL HEARING)

- 3. Following receipt of the report by the Ombudsman or the Monitoring Officer ("the Investigating Officer"):
 - A hearing will be held to decide, on the basis of the Investigating Officer's report, either:
 - (a) that there is no evidence of any failure to comply with the Code of Conduct;
 - (b) that any person who is the subject of the investigation should be given the opportunity to make representations (orally or in writing) to the Committee
 - An officer who has not been involved in the investigation or the complaint itself ('the Advising Officer') will be present to provide legal advice to the Committee.
 - Any criteria established to assist the Committee and to ensure consistency in decisions will be considered.
 - If it is decided to give the person who is subject to the complaint ('the Member') the opportunity to make representations (i.e. hold a full hearing) the Committee will:
 - Decide whether the Investigating Officer should be asked to attend to present the report explain any matters in it.
 - Decide on a date for the hearing to offer the Member (together with two alternative dates to be kept in reserve)

THE SECOND STEP

THE FULL HEARING

If the Committee decides that the Member should be given the opportunity to make representations, the following procedure will be followed:

Before the Hearing

- 4. The intention is to ensure that all parties to the hearing are able to participate in the full knowledge of the matters requiring investigation and that the proceedings are fair, transparent and impartial.
- 5. A questionnaire will be sent to the member who is the subject of the complaint to asking him/her to confirm in writing by means of a questionnaire (Appendix 1) within 14 days whether he/she:
 - wishes to submit written and/or oral representations,
 - disagrees with any finding of fact in the report of the 'Investigating Officer'
 - intends to be represented by a solicitor, barrister or any other person,
 - wishes to call any witnesses to give evidence before the committee,
 - believes that any part of the meeting/any documents should be confidential.
- 6. A meeting will be held between the Chair and the Advising Officer to consider whether there is sufficient information to hand for the hearing. They will decide on any further steps that are required to ensure that all the necessary information is available to the Committee, and/or any other questions regarding how the hearing should proceed. Such meetings can be held at any stage of the process, as required.
- 7. The Investigating Officer will be informed of the proposed hearing and ask whether he/she intends to attend.
- 8. The Member's responses will be sent to the Investigation Officer and ask him/her to confirm within 7 days whether he/she:
 - intends to be represented at the hearing,
 - wishes to call any witnesses to give evidence before the committee,
 - believes that any part of the meeting/any documents should be confidential.
- 9. It will be made clear to all parties that details should be provided beforehand of all the findings of fact that they intend to challenge and the evidence that they intend to produce.

- 10. The following information will be sent to members of the Committee, the member and the Investigating Officer beforehand:
 - The Investigating Officer's report.
 - The written response from the Member
 - Any further written response by the Investigating Officer.
 - An outline of the main facts of the case that have been agreed and those that have not been agreed.
 - A list of witnesses who will appear.
 - Whether the Member will be attending the hearing, and whether he/she will be represented.
 - The procedure for the hearing.

The Member will be informed of the date that the papers will become public beforehand.

11. If the member has said that he/she will be attending the hearing, he/she will be contacted a few days beforehand to confirm his/her attendance.

The Hearing

12. The procedure at the meeting shall be as set out below, subject to the Chair making such changes as he or she thinks fit in order to ensure a fair and efficient hearing. The hearing should be kept as informal as it appears appropriate. There will be three possible stages to a hearing:

Stage 1 of the hearing - Preliminary Procedural Issues.

The Chair will welcome everyone and ensure that everybody present has been introduced. He/she will explain the practical arrangements for the day and will then explain the steps to be taken during the hearing itself.

There will ne an opportunity first of all for the Committee to resolve any issues that have arisen regarding the way the hearing should be conducted, including:

- Whether public and press should be excluded from the hearing or parts of it.
- Any matters that have come to the Committee's attention after the agenda was prepared.
- Whether the hearing should proceed in the absence of any party.
- If the Member or the Investigating Officer is not present, or represented, at the hearing, the Committee may, if it is satisfied that the party was duly notified of the hearing and that there is no good reason for such absence hear and decide the matter in the party's absence. Alternatively it may adjourn the hearing.
- If the Standards Committee is satisfied (after receiving a medical certificate)that any party is unable, through sickness, to attend the hearing and that the party's inability is likely to continue for a long time, the Standards Committee may make

such arrangements as may appear best suited, in all the circumstances of the case, for disposing fairly of the matter

Stage 2 of the hearing – Deciding whether the Member has breached the Code of Conduct

The Committee will have to decide on any disputed facts and whether what took place constituted a breach of the Code of Conduct by the Member.

General Rules of Procedure

- Members of the Committee can ask questions of anybody present, at any time.
- No cross-examination by the parties will be allowed, but questions can be directed through the Chair.
- The Committee will decide factual evidence on the balance of probabilities.
- If any new matter or evidence is raised that could have been raised during the 'pre-hearing' process, the assumption will be that it should not be allowed unless there is good reason to do so.

The procedure will be as follows:

- The Investigating Officer will be invited to present his/her report.
- He/she may call witnesses to give evidence, with the Committee's permission.
- The Committee may ask questions to the Investigating Officer and/or any witnesses.
- The Member may ask questions (through the chair) to the Investigating Officer and/or any witnesses.
- The Member will be invited to present his/her case
- He/she may call witnesses to give evidence, with the Committee's permission
- The Committee may ask questions to the Member and/or any witnesses.
- The Investigating Officer may ask questions (through the chair) to the Member and/or any witnesses.
- The Investigating Officer and the Member will be given an opportunity to sum up.
- The Committee will retire to consider its decision.
- If the Committee decides that the Member has breached the Code it will consider generally what kind of sanction would be appropriate in the circumstances.
- If the Committee decides that the member did not breach the code of conduct, it can still consider whether or not to make general recommendations to the Council to avoid similar situations arising in the future.
- Once the decision is reached and the meeting reconvened, the Chairman will announce the Committee's decision.

Stage 3 of the hearing - Deciding what action should be taken.

If the Committee decides that a member has breached the code:

- The Chair will give an indication of the kind of sanction the Committee is considering and will invite representations form the parties.
- The Committee will retire to consider whether the member should be punished, and if so, what punishment should be imposed, and if there are any general recommendation that should be made to the Council.
- In respect of imposing a sanction, the Committee will decide either that:
 - (a) no action needs to be taken in respect of the failure to comply with the Code of Conduct,
 - (b) the Member should be censured or
 - (c) the Member should be suspended or partially suspended from being a member or co-opted member of his or her authority for a period not exceeding six months,
- Once a decision is made and the meeting reconvened the Chairman will announce the decision.

After the Hearing

- 13. A written decision will be provided in the form of a Decision Notice shortly after the hearing.
- 14. The Committee shall cause to be produced and distributed a report on the outcome of the investigation in accordance with the Regulations. The Monitoring Officer on receipt of the report shall publish the report as required by the Regulations.

A summary of the procedure is set out in Appendix 2

Gwynedd Standards Committee Hearing Questionnaire

Compliant made against: [name and authority]

Ombudsman's Case Reference: []		
1.	Do you intend to attend the Standards Committee to be held on [date of hearing]? Yes / No If not, please explain why:	
0	And the reason weathers in the Orghudement's Deport with which were discourse?	
2.	Are there any matters in the Ombudsman's Report with which you disagree? Yes / No	
	If so, please note what they are:	

\$22ov5rm3.doc Page 11

3.	Do you wish to submit any representations or documents or other evidence to the Committee?
	Yes / No
	*Any representations or documents should be submitted to the Monitoring Officer when returning this questionnaire, or by [two weeks before hearing] at the latest. Late evidence will not be allowed to be presented unless there is good reason for doing so.
	If possible list the documents below:

4.	Will you be asking any witnesses to speak on your behalf at the hearing?
	Yes / No
	If you are, please note who they are and on which points they will be speaking.
5.	Do you believe that any parts of any documents that will be before the Committee should be kept from the public or that any part of the hearing should be held in private?
	Yes / No
	If you do, please explain the reasons:

6.	Will anyone be presenting your case on your behalf?
	Yes / No
	If so, please give their name(s) and confirm whether or not they are a solicitor or barrister:
7.	If you are to be represented by a solicitor/barrister, do you wish to make an application for an indemnity under the Council's policy? (See attached document for details of the policy).
	Yes / No
8.	Do you or your representatives or witnesses have any access problems or any other requirements (e.g. wheelchair access?)
	Yes / No
	Mara ulasas unavida dataila
	If so, please provide details
<u> </u>	

Signed...... Dated......

Please complete this questionnaire and return it to: The Monitoring Officer, Gwynedd Council, Stryd y Jêl, Caernarfon, Gwynedd, LL55 1SH.

Standards Committee Order of Hearing - Summary

1. Welcome by the Chair

2. Preliminary Issues

3. The Ombudsman's Report

- The Investigating Officer will be invited to present his/her report.
- He/she may call witnesses to give evidence, with the Committee's permission.
- The Committee may ask questions to the Investigating Officer and/or any witnesses.
- The Member may ask questions (through the chair) to the Investigating Officer and/or any witnesses.

4. The Member's Case

- The Member will be invited to present his/her case
- He/she may call witnesses to give evidence, with the Committee's permission
- The Committee may ask questions to the Member and/or any witnesses.
- The Investigating Officer may ask questions (through the chair) to the Member and/or any witnesses.
- The Investigating Officer and the Member will be given an opportunity to sum up.

5. Decision

- The Committee will retire to consider whether the member has breached the code of conduct.
- If the Committee decides that the Member has breached the Code it will consider generally what kind of sanction would be appropriate in the circumstances.
- Once the decision is reached and the meeting reconvened, the Chairman will announce the Committee's decision.

6. Deciding what action should be taken

If the Committee decides that a member has breached the code:

- The Chair will give an indication of the kind of sanction the Committee is considering and will invite representations form the parties.
- The Committee will retire
- Once a decision is made and the meeting reconvened the Chairman will announce the decision.

\$22ov5rm3.doc Page 15



Sanctions Guidance

Issued by the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales under Section 75(10) of the Local Government Act 2000.

Foreword by the President

I am pleased to introduce our new *Sanctions Guidance* which sets out the approach to be taken by case, appeal and interim case tribunals of the Adjudication Panel for Wales in order to reach fair, proportionate and consistent decisions on the sanctions that should be applied in relation to an individual's breach of the local Code of Conduct.

The Guidance has been developed by members of the Adjudication Panel for Wales in consultation with the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales, Monitoring Officers and other interested parties. I would like to thank everyone for their contributions. In publishing this Guidance, I hope it will help all those with whom we share an interest in the Code - most importantly members of county and community councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities in Wales. I hope it reflects the importance we attach to the role of local members, the value of local democracy and the Adjudication Panel's commitment to promoting the highest standards in public life in Wales.

Claire Sharp President, Adjudication Panel for Wales

CONTENTS

Introduction page 2

 the status, purpose and intended use of the Guidance, and its relevance to the public, individual members, Monitoring Officers and Standards Committees of councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities in Wales, the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales and the Adjudication Panel for Wales.

Standards in Public Life

page 3

- the Code of Conduct, expectations for local members and the process to be followed when a breach of the Code is alleged.

The Adjudication Panel for Wales

page 5

- the role of the Adjudication Panel for Wales, the purpose of the sanctions regime and sanction powers available to case, appeal and interim tribunals of the Adjudication Panel for Wales.

The Tribunals' Approach: underlying principles

page 7

 an overview of the general principles that underpin the broad approach of case, appeal and interim case tribunals, specifically fairness, public interest, proportionality, consistency, equality and impartiality, and Article 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

Case and Appeal Tribunals: determining sanction

page 9

- the specific sanctions available to case and appeal tribunals and the five stage process to be used to assess the seriousness of a breach, relevant mitigating and aggravating circumstances and any wider factors, and guidance on how to determine the specific sanction and duration; it also addresses the tribunal's power to make recommendations.

Interim Case Tribunals: determining sanction

page 18

- the distinct aims of interim case tribunals to facilitate an ongoing investigation and the specific powers available in response to a report, and any recommendation, from the Ombudsman.

Annex: other relevant documents and guidance

page 21

Introduction

 This Guidance is issued by the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales (APW) using powers available to her under the Local Government Act 2000¹. Its primary purpose is to assist the APW's case, appeal and interim case tribunals when considering the appropriate sanction to impose on a member, or former member, who is found to have breached their authority's Code of Conduct.

2. This Guidance describes:

- i. the role of the ethical framework and Code of Conduct in promoting high public standards amongst members of councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities in Wales;
- ii. the role of the Adjudication Panel for Wales (APW) and the purpose of the sanctions regime;
- iii. the approach to be taken by its tribunals in determining sanction following a finding that the Code has been breached.
- 3. The purpose of sanctions and this Guidance are built on the values that underpin the Code of Conduct, in particular the fundamental importance of promoting the highest standards in local public life. The Guidance aims to assist tribunals in determining sanctions that are, in all cases, fair, proportionate and consistent.
- 4. The Guidance is not prescriptive and recognises that the sanction decided by an individual tribunal will depend on the particular facts and circumstances of the case. Any examples should be considered to be by way of illustration and not exhaustive. Tribunals have ultimate discretion when imposing sanctions and can consider in addition to this Guidance other factors that they consider necessary and appropriate. Nor does the Guidance affect the responsibility of the legal member of a tribunal to advise on questions of law, including the specific applicability of relevant sections of this Guidance.
- 5. In setting out the factors to be considered by a tribunal in its determination of an appropriate sanction, the Guidance offers a transparent approach for the benefit of all parties involved tribunal proceedings. It aims to ensure that everyone is aware, from the outset, of the way in which the tribunal is likely to arrive at its decision on sanction.
- 6. The Guidance seeks to fulfil a wider role and support all those with an interest in maintaining, promoting and adjudicating on the Code of Conduct. It aims to complement the statutory Guidance published by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales², confirming the expectations on local members in

¹ Section 75(10) of the Local Government Act 2000 ("the 2000 Act") provides a power for the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales to issue guidance on how its tribunals are to reach decisions

² The Code of Conduct for members of county and county borough councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities: Guidance (August 2016) and The Code of Conduct for members of community councils:

terms of their conduct and emphasising the central importance of public confidence in local democracy. It should be of value to individual members, Monitoring Officers and Standards Committees of county and county borough councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities in Wales, and the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales.

7. This Guidance comes into effect on 1 September 2018. It is a living document that will be updated and revised as the need arises, following consultation.

Standards in Public Life

The Code of Conduct

- 8. The Local Government Act 2000 introduced an ethical framework to promote high standards of conduct in public life in Wales. The framework's central mechanism is the Code of Conduct. All local authorities, community councils, fire and rescue authorities and national park authorities in Wales must have in place a Code of Conduct. All elected members and co-opted members (with voting rights) must, on taking office, sign an undertaking to abide by their authority's Code for the duration of their term of office.
- 9. The Welsh Government has issued a model Code of Conduct³ in order to ensure consistency across Wales and to give certainty to members and the public as to the minimum standards expected. The model Code is consistent with ten core principles of conduct⁴ prescribed by the National Assembly for Wales in 2001, which are themselves derived from the Nolan Committee's Principles for Public Life⁵:
 - i. Selflessness
 - ii. Honesty
 - iii. Integrity and Propriety
 - iv. Duty to Uphold the Law
 - v. Stewardship
 - vi. Objectivity in Decision-making
 - vii. Equality and Respect
 - viii.Openness
 - ix. Accountability
 - x. Leadership

Guidance (August 2016), issued by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales under Section 68 of the Local Government Act 2000

³ The Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (Wales) (Amendment) Order 2008, as amended by the Local Authorities (Model Code of Conduct) (Wales) (Amendment) Order 2016 www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2016/84/pdfs/wsi 20160084 mi.pdf and <a href="https://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2016/85/pdfs/wsi 20160085 mi.pdf and www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2016/85/pdfs/wsi 20160085 mi.pdf and mi.pdf and www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2016/85/pdfs/wsi 20160085 mi.pdf and mi.pdf</

⁴ The Conduct of Members (Principles) (Wales) Order 2001 SI 2001 No.2276 (W.166) http://www.legislation.gov.uk/wsi/2001/2276/pdfs/wsi_20012276_mi.pdf

⁵ Nolan Report "Standards of Conduct in Local Government in England, Scotland and Wales

Local codes must incorporate any mandatory provisions of the model Code and may incorporate any optional provisions of the model Code. At this time, all provisions of the model Code are mandatory.

Expectations on local members

- 10. Members of county councils, county borough councils, community councils, fire and rescue authorities and national park authorities in Wales must abide by their authority's Code:
 - whenever they are acting or present at a meeting of their authority, claiming
 to act or giving the impression of acting in an official capacity in the role of
 member to which they were elected or appointed or as a representative of
 their authority;
 - at any time, if they are conducting themselves in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing their office or authority into disrepute, or if using or attempting to use their position to gain an advantage or avoid a disadvantage for anyone or if they misuse the authority's resources.
- 11. Members are expected to engage in any training and access ongoing advice, as the need arises, from their local Monitoring Officer and Standards Committee. Members are also expected to be familiar with and have regard to the Public Services Ombudsman's statutory guidance on the Code⁶. It addresses each of the Code's requirements in order to help members understand their obligations in practical terms. It offers advice on the fundamental ethical principles that many members need to consider on a regular basis for example, declarations of interest, confidentiality and whether their actions constitute bullying or harassment– in addition to those less frequently encountered.
- 12. Ultimately, members must use their judgment in applying the Code and the Principles to their own situation. They cannot delegate responsibility for their conduct under the Code.

Allegations of breach

_

13. There are non-statutory local protocols in place for low-level member-on-member complaints which do not result in case or appeal tribunals. Allegations that a member's conduct is in breach of the Code can be made to the Ombudsman, who will decide whether to investigate a complaint. If, following an investigation, the Ombudsman finds that there is evidence of a breach of the Code, he can refer his report to the relevant local Standards Committee or to the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales. The Ombudsman may

⁶ The Code of Conduct for members of county and county borough councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities: Guidance (August 2016) and The Code of Conduct for members of community councils: Guidance (August 2016), issued by the Public Services Ombudsman for Wales under Section 68 of the Local Government Act 2000

also refer reports from an ongoing investigation to the President for consideration by an interim case tribunal.

The Adjudication Panel for Wales

14. The introduction of the ethical framework included the establishment of the Adjudication Panel for Wales⁷ as an independent, judicial body with powers to form tribunals to deal with alleged breaches of the Code. The Panel's operation is subject to regulation by the Welsh Government.

Case tribunals

15. Case tribunals are appointed by the President of the Adjudication Panel for Wales in order to consider a report from the Ombudsman following an investigation into an allegation of a member's misconduct. Case tribunals are responsible for deciding whether a local member has breached the Code of Conduct of their authority and, if so, for determining an appropriate sanction (if any).

Appeal tribunals

16. Appeals tribunals are appointed by the President to consider appeals from members against a decision of a local Standards Committee. Appeal tribunals are responsible for reviewing the decision that a local member has breached the Code of Conduct and any sanction imposed. They may uphold and endorse any sanction imposed or refer the matter back to the Standards Committee with a recommendation as to a different sanction or overturn the determination of the Committee that there has been a breach of the Code. An appeal tribunal cannot recommend a sanction which was not available to the Standards Committee.

Interim case tribunals

17. Interim case tribunals are appointed by the President to consider a report, and any recommendation to suspend a member, from the Ombudsman during an ongoing investigation into alleged misconduct. The tribunal is responsible for determining the need to suspend, or partially suspend, the member or coopted member from the authority or a role within the authority. The maximum duration of the suspension or partial suspension is 6 months. Unlike case and appeal tribunals, suspension by an interim case tribunal is a neutral act, given the ongoing nature of the Ombudsman's investigation.

The sanctions regime

18. The Committee on Standards in Public Life⁸ had a key role in developing the ethical framework and identified the need for mechanisms to enforce and punish public office holders who breached the standards expected of them, if the ethical framework was to command public credibility. The purpose of the

⁷ Part III, Local Government Act 2000

⁸ Reference to the report on enforcement

sanctions available to Adjudication Panel for Wales case and appeal tribunals are to:

- provide a disciplinary response to an individual member's breach of the Code:
- place the misconduct and appropriate sanction on public record;
- deter future misconduct on the part of the individual and others;
- promote a culture of compliance across the relevant authorities;
- foster public confidence in local democracy.
- 19. The sanctions available to a case tribunal that has found a breach of the Code are⁹:
 - a. to take no action in respect of the breach;
 - to suspend or partially suspend the member from the authority concerned for up to 12 months;
 - c. to disqualify the member from being, or becoming, a member of the authority concerned or any other relevant authority to which the Code of Conduct applies for a maximum of 5 years.

The sanctions available to an appeal tribunal that has found a breach of the Code are:

- d. censure;
- e. to suspend or partially suspend the member from the authority concerned for up to 6 months.
- 20. The different types and scope of duration of sanction are designed to provide tribunals with the flexibility to apply sanctions of considerable difference in impact and enable a proportionate response to the particular circumstances of an individual case. This Guidance does not propose a firm tariff from which to calculate the length of suspension or disqualification that should be applied to specific breaches of the Code. Instead, it offers broad principles for consideration by all tribunals whilst respecting the details that make each and every case different.

⁹ Section 79, Local Government Act 2000

The Tribunal approach – underlying principles

21. Tribunals must always have in mind that every case is different and requires deciding on its own particular facts and circumstances. Following a finding that the Code of Conduct has been breached, tribunals must exercise their own judgment as to the relevant sanction in line with the nature and impact of the breach, and any other relevant factors. They must also ensure that the sanctions take account of the following underlying principles in order to ensure that their decisions support the overall ambitions of the ethical framework, fulfilling the purpose of the sanctions, and are in line with the tribunal's wider judicial obligations.

Fairness

22. The tribunal should take account and seek to find an appropriate balance between the various interests of the Respondent/Appellant, the Complainant, other interested parties to a case, the Ombudsman, the authority, the electorate and the wider public.

Public interest

23. Whilst seeking to ensure that the sanction imposed is appropriate, fair and proportionate to the circumstances of the case, the tribunal should consider the reputation of and public confidence in local democracy as more important than the interests of any one individual.

Proportionate

24. Tribunals will take account of the good practice identified in the Ombudsman's Guidance and Code of Conduct Casebook¹⁰ in order to assist their sense of proportionality when determining the sanction appropriate to the scale and/or nature of the breach.

Consistent

25. Tribunals will aim to achieve consistency in their sanctions in order to maintain the credibility of the ethical framework. They will take account of the good practice identified by the Ombudsman (para.24) in addition to this Guidance and its own previous decisions. Where a tribunal panel has reason to depart from the Guidance, it should clearly explain why it has done so.

Equality and impartiality

26. Fair treatment is a fundamental principle for the Adjudication Panel for Wales and is embedded within individual members' judicial oath. Tribunals must ensure that their processes and practices safeguard their capacity for objective, independent and impartial decision-making, free from prejudice and partiality, in order to uphold their judicial responsibilities.

¹⁰ https://www.ombudsman.wales/code-of-conducts/

Human Rights (Articles 6 and 10)

- 27. Tribunals must ensure that their processes and practices respect human rights. This Guidance aims to support those principles. In particular, tribunals must ensure that they consider the relevance of Articles 6 and 10 of the European Convention on Human Rights in their deliberations. These articles enshrine the right to a fair hearing and freedom of expression.
- 28. Article 10 is a key provision when considering possible breaches of the Code. It provides that:
 - "10(1) Everyone has the right to freedom of expression. The right shall include freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority regardless of frontiers...

 10(2) The exercise of these freedoms, since it carries with it duties and responsibilities, may be subject to such formalities, conditions, restrictions or penalties as are prescribed by law and are necessary in a democratic society, in the interests of national security, territorial integrity or public safety, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, for the protection of the reputation or rights of others, for preventing the disclosure of information received in confidence, or for maintaining the authority and impartiality of the judiciary."
- 29. Enhanced protection of freedom of expression applies to political debate, including at local government level. Article 10(2) has the effect of permitting language and debate on questions of public interest that might, in non-political contexts, be regarded as inappropriate or unacceptable. This protection does not extend to gratuitous or offensive personal comment, nor to 'hate speech' directed at denigrating colour, race, disability, nationality (including citizenship), ethnic or national origin, religion, or sexual orientation.
- 30. In their consideration of Article 10, tribunals should apply the three-stage approach established by Mr Justice Wilkie¹¹ in the case of *Sanders v Kingston (No1)* and which applies to both decision about breach and sanction, as follows:
 - i. Can the Panel as a matter of fact conclude that the Respondent's conduct amounted to a relevant breach of the Code of Conduct?
 - ii. If so, was the finding of a breach and imposition of a sanction prima facie a breach of Article 10?
 - iii. If so, is the restriction involved one which is justified by reason of the requirement of Article 10(2)?

_

¹¹ Wilkie J in the case of Sanders v Kingston No (1) [2005] EWHC 1145

Case and Appeal Tribunals – determining sanction

- 31. A tribunal will decide whether or not a sanction is appropriate after considering the facts of a case and finding that an individual has breached the Code of Conduct. In determining any appropriate sanction, the tribunal's approach should be sufficiently broad so as to accommodate its consideration of the various interests of those involved in the case, any specific circumstances of the individual respondent/appellant, the intended purpose of the sanctions available (in particular, the wider public interest) and the tribunal's wider judicial responsibilities.
- 32. Case tribunals will decide on the appropriate sanction to impose, if any, and the duration of any such sanction; appeal tribunals will consider the appropriateness of the sanction imposed by the Standards Committee.

The five-stage process

- 33. Case and appeal tribunals will follow a five step process in determining sanction:
- 33.1 assess the seriousness of the breach and any consequences for individuals and/or the council (para.34 38)
- identify the broad type of sanction that the Tribunal considers most likely to be appropriate having regard to the breach; (para.39)
- consider any relevant mitigating or aggravating circumstances and how these might affect the level of sanction under consideration; (para.40 to 42)
- 33.4 consider any further adjustment necessary to ensure the sanction achieves an appropriate effect in terms of fulfilling the purposes of the sanctions; (para.43)
- 33.5 confirm the decision on sanction and include, within the written decision, an explanation of the tribunal's reasons for determining the chosen sanction in order to enable the parties and the public to understand its conclusions. (para.53)

Assessing the seriousness of the breach

- 34. The relative seriousness of the breach will have a direct bearing on the tribunal's decision as to the need for a sanction and, if so, whether a suspension or partial suspension (of up to 12 months) or disqualification (up to 5 years) is likely to be most appropriate. It is important to bear in mind though that appeal tribunals can only recommend a suspension (partial or full) for up to 6 months and cannot recommend disqualification due to the constraints upon its powers.
- 35. The tribunal will assess seriousness with particular reference to:
 - the nature and extent of the breach, and number of breaches;

- the member's culpability, their intentions in breaching the Code, and any previous breaches of the Code;
- the actual and potential consequences of the breach for any individual(s), the wider public and/or the council as a whole;
- the extent to which the member's actions have, or are likely to have the potential to, bring his/her office or the relevant authority into disrepute.
- 36. Examples of the way in which tribunals might weight seriousness include:
 - a breach involving deliberate deception for personal gain or discrimination is likely to be regarded as more serious than that involving the careless use of a council email address on a personal social media profile;
 - a breach involving the systematic harassment or bullying of a junior officer is likely to be regarded as more serious than instances of disrespectful language in the course of a council debate;
 - a breach of confidentiality that results in the disclosure of the address of a looked after child is likely to be regarded as more serious than the disclosure of a planning officer's confidential advice;
 - a breach resulting in significant negative reputational damage to the office or authority is likely to be regarded as more serious than an inappropriately worded email to a member of the public.
- 37. Breaches involving the blatant disregard of specific, authoritative advice given as to a course of conduct and/or the Code (particularly by the relevant authority's monitoring officer), the deliberate abuse of confidential, privileged or sensitive information for personal gain or that of a close personal associate, and sexual misconduct, criminal, discriminatory, predatory, bullying and/or harassing behaviour are all likely to be regarded as very serious breaches.
- 38. A member who is subject to a term of imprisonment for three months or more without the option of paying a fine in the previous five years before their election or since their election is automatically subject to disqualification¹².

Choosing the potential sanction

39. Having assessed the relative seriousness of the member's breach of the Code, the tribunal will consider which of the courses of action available to it is most appropriate¹³. In line with the principles of fairness and proportionality, the tribunal should start its considerations of possible sanctions with that of least impact.

No action

39.1 The tribunal may decide that, despite the member having failed to follow the Code of Conduct, there is no need to take any further action in terms

¹² Section 80(1)(d), Local Government Act 1972

¹³ Section 79, Local Government Act 2000

of sanction. Circumstances in which a tribunal may decide that no action is required may include:

- an inadvertent failure to follow the Code;
- an isolated incident with extremely limited potential for consequential harm;
- an acceptance that a further failure to comply with the Code on the part of the member is unlikely, nor are there any wider reasons for a deterrent sanction;
- specific personal circumstances, including resignation or ill health, which render a sanction unnecessary and/or disproportionate.
- 39.2 A tribunal that finds a breach of the Code but decides that no action is necessary in terms of sanction, should consider whether there is a need to warn the member as to their conduct and/or seek assurances as to future behaviour. This provides an effective means of placing the member's behaviour on record, reflected in the tribunal's written decision, so that the warning and/or reassurance may be taken into account in the event of the same member being found to have breached the Code in the future. A failure to comply with any assurances given to the tribunal may be brought to the attention of the tribunal in any future hearings.

Suspension for up to 12 months

- 39.3 A case tribunal may suspend the member for up to 12 months from the authority(ies) whose Code/s has/have been breached.
- 39.4 Suspension is appropriate where the seriousness of the breach is such that a time-limited form of disciplinary response is appropriate in order to deter such future action, temporarily remove the member from the authority/a role within the authority, safeguard the standards set by the Code and to reassure the public that standards are being upheld.
- 39.5 A suspension of less than a month is unlikely to meet the objectives of the sanctions regime and risks undermining its overall ambitions. Tribunals are also reminded that the highest sanction available to local Standards Committees is 6 months' suspension. They should bear this in mind when considering an Ombudsman's referral to the Adjudication Panel, in preference to the local Standards Committee, and when considering an appeal against a local Standards Committee sanction. It is possible for appeal tribunals to recommend an increase in the sanction originally imposed by the Standards Committee.
- 39.6 Circumstances in which a tribunal may decide that a suspension Is appropriate may include:
 - the member's action has brought the member's office or authority into disrepute but they have not been found in breach of any other

- paragraph of the Code (though the most appropriate sanction will depend on the specific facts of each case);
- the breach merits a disciplinary response but, in view of the circumstances of the case, it is highly unlikely that there will be a further breach of the Code;
- the member has recognised their culpability, shown insight into their misconduct, and apologised to those involved.

Partial Suspension for up to 12 months

- 39.7 The tribunal may impose a partial suspension, preventing the member from exercising a particular function or role (such as being a member of a particular committee or subcommittee or the holder of a particular office) for up to 12 months.
- 39.8 Partial suspension is appropriate where the seriousness of the breach merits a suspension (see above) but the circumstances of the case are such that the member is permitted to continue in public office except for the role/function/activity specifically limited by the suspension.
- 39.9 In the case of a partial suspension, the tribunal will need to decide from what role/function/activity the member is to be suspended and, in the case of membership of more than one authority, the impact of the partial suspension in each relevant authority.
- 39.10 Circumstances in which a partial suspension may be appropriate include:
 - the member is capable of complying with the Code in general but has difficulty understanding or accepting the restrictions placed by the Code on their behaviour in a specific area of council/authority activity;
 - the misconduct is directly relevant to and inconsistent with a specific function or area of responsibility held;
 - the member should be temporarily removed or prevented from exercising executive functions for the body to which the Code applies.

Disqualification for a maximum of 5 years

- 39.11 A case tribunal may disqualify the member from being, or becoming, a member of the authority concerned or any other relevant authority to which the Code of Conduct applies for a maximum of 5 years.
- 39.12 Disqualification is the most severe of the sanctions available to a tribunal. It is likely to be appropriate where the seriousness of the breach is such that a significant disciplinary response is appropriate in order to deter repetition, make clear the unacceptable nature of such conduct in public office, underscore the importance of the Code and to safeguard the public's confidence in local democracy. A disqualification of less than 12 months is unlikely to be meaningful (except in circumstances when the

- term of office of the member is due to expire during that period or is no longer a member).
- 39.13 Circumstances in which a tribunal may decide that a disqualification is appropriate may include:
 - deliberately seeking personal gain (for her/himself, a family member or personal associate) by exploiting membership of the authority and/or the authority's resources;
 - deliberately seeking to disadvantage another by exploiting membership of the authority and/or the authority's resources;
 - deliberately disregarding or failing to comply with the provisions of the Code and continuing to assert the right so to do;
 - repeatedly failing to comply with the provisions of the Code and demonstrating the likelihood of continuing the pattern of behaviour;
 - deliberately seeking political gain by misusing public resources or power within the authority;
 - a second or subsequent breach, despite a warning and/or having given an assurance as to future conduct in a previous case before an Adjudication Panel for Wales tribunal;
 - conduct that calls into question the Respondent's fitness for public office:
 - bringing the relevant authority into serious disrepute.

Mitigating and aggravating circumstances

- 40. The tribunal will go on to consider how any particular circumstances of the member may mitigate and/or aggravate the level of sanction under consideration. This stage is designed to take account of any personal circumstances affecting the member's conduct including inexperience, capacity, insight, responsibility (for the breach), remorse, reparation and any previous findings. This process is likely to have significant bearing on the duration of the sanction, varying the term down or up in line with the mitigating or aggravating factors. Such factors may at times be sufficient to persuade a tribunal that a suspension (if any) may be more appropriate than a disqualification, and vice versa.
- 41. Tribunals are encouraged to work through the examples set out below but are reminded that these are not exhaustive. Where any mitigating/aggravating factor relates directly to the nature or seriousness of the breach and the tribunal has already considered that factor in its choice of appropriate sanction, care should be taken as to the extent to which that factor is included in mitigation/aggravation. For example:
 - if the sanction under consideration is a suspension because the conduct is regarded as a 'one off', this factor should not also be regarded as mitigating

- unless the 'one off' nature of the breach is so exceptional that it should have a direct bearing on the length of the suspension;
- if the breach is regarded as serious because it includes 'bringing the authority into disrepute', this factor should not also be regarded as aggravating unless the disrepute is so exceptional as to have a direct bearing on the length of the disqualification.
- 42. Tribunals should also take care to respect a member's legitimate right to appeal and to distinguish protestations or assertions made in the course of exercising that right from those actions that might be regarded as aggravating factors designed to obstruct the processes of the Ombudsman or Adjudication Panel.

Mitigating circumstances

- substantiated evidence that the misconduct was affected by personal circumstances, including health and stress;
- ii. a short length of service or inexperience in a particular role;
- iii. a previous record of good service (especially if over a long period of time);
- iv. the misconduct was a one-off or isolated incident;
- v. that the member was acting in good faith, albeit in breach of the Code;
- vi. the misconduct arose from provocation or manipulation on the part of others;
- vii. the breach arose from an honestly held, albeit mistaken, view that the conduct involved did not constitute a failure to follow the Code, especially having taken appropriate advice;
- viii. the misconduct, whilst in breach of the Code, had some beneficial effect for the public interest;
- ix. political expression of an honestly held opinion, albeit intemperately expressed, or a political argument (see paragraphs 27-30 above and Aggravating factor xii below);
- x. self-reporting the breach;
- xi. recognition and regret as to the misconduct and any consequences;
- xii. an apology, especially an early apology, to any affected persons;
- xiii. co-operation in efforts to rectify the impact of the failure;
- xiv. co-operation with the investigation officer and the standards committee/APW:
- xv. acceptance of the need to modify behaviour in the future;
- xvi. preparedness to attend further training;
- xvii. commitment to seeking appropriate advice on the Code in the future;

xviii. compliance with the Code since the events giving rise to the adjudication.

Aggravating factors

- i. long experience, seniority and/or position of responsibility;
- ii. seeking to unfairly blame others for the member's own actions;
- iii. deliberate conduct designed to achieve or resulting in personal (for her/himself, a family member or close personal associate) benefit or disadvantage for another;
- iv. deliberate exploitation of public office and/or resources for personal (for her/himself, a family member or close personal associate) or political gain;
- v. abuse or exploitation of a position of trust;
- vi. repeated and/or numerous breaches of the Code, including persisting with a pattern of behaviour that involves repeatedly failing to abide by the Code;
- vii. dishonesty and/or deception, especially in the course of the Ombudsman's investigation;
- viii. lack of understanding or acceptance of the misconduct and any consequences;
- ix. refusal and/or failure to attend available training on the Code;
- x. deliberate or reckless conduct with little or no concern for the Code;
- xi. deliberately or recklessly ignoring advice, training and/or warnings as to conduct;
- xii. the expression of views which are not worthy of respect in a democratic society, are incompatible with human dignity and conflict with the fundamental rights of others (see paragraphs 27 30 above);
- xiii. obstructing and/or failing to co-operate with any Ombudsman's investigation, Standards Committee, and/or the Adjudication Panel for Wales's processes;
- xiv. refusal to accept the facts despite clear evidence to the contrary;
- xv. action(s) that has/have brought the relevant authority and/or public service into disrepute;
- xvi. failure to heed previous advice and/or warnings and to adhere to any previous assurances given as to conduct relevant to the Code.
- xvii. Previous findings of failure to follow the provisions of the Code.
- xviii. Continuing to deny the facts, despite clear evidence to the contrary.

Fulfilling the purpose of the sanctions regime

43. The tribunal may need to consider further adjustments to the chosen sanction or length of sanction in order to achieve an appropriate deterrent effect, for the individual and/or the wider council membership, or to maintain public confidence. Tribunals will also need to have regard to external factors that may exacerbate or diminish the impact of the chosen sanction.

Public interest

44. The overriding purpose of the sanctions regime is to uphold the standards of conduct in public life and maintain confidence in local democracy. Tribunals should review their chosen sanction against previous decisions of the Adjudication Panel for Wales and consider the value of its chosen sanction in terms of a deterrent effect upon councillors in general and its impact in terms of wider public credibility. If the facts giving rise to a breach of the code are such as to render the member entirely unfit for public office, then disqualification rather than suspension is likely to be the more appropriate sanction.

Eligibility for public office in other relevant authorities

- 45. Disqualification will automatically apply to a Respondent's current membership of all authorities to which the Local Government Act 2000 applies, irrespective of whether the other authorities' Codes have been breached. Disqualification will also prevent the Respondent from taking up public office, through election or co-option, on any other authorities to which the Act applies until the expiration of the disqualification period.
- 46. A suspension will preclude the member from participating as a member of the authority whose Code s/he has been found to have breached but not necessarily any other authorities of which the Respondent/Appellant is a member. Where the facts of a case call into question the member's overall suitability to public office, a disqualification may be more suitable than a suspension.

Former members

47. In circumstances where the tribunal would normally apply a suspension but the Respondent is no longer a member, a short period of disqualification may be appropriate (this can only apply in case tribunals). This will ensure that the Respondent is unable to return to public office, through co-option for example, sooner than the expiry of the period of suspension that would have been applied but for their resignation or not being re-elected. For appeal tribunals, a censure remains an option.

Financial impact

48. Tribunals should take into account the financial impact on members of a sanction: during suspension and disqualification, a member will be denied payment of their salary and allowances. The financial impact varies from an

annual expenses reimbursement for community councillors to a basic salary plus expenses for county councillors to the higher salaried paid to leaders of larger councils¹⁴.

Impact on the electorate

- 49. The High Court has recognised that Parliament has expressly provided case tribunals with a power to interfere with the will of the electorate and that such 'interference' may be necessary to maintain public trust and confidence in the local democratic process. Tribunals should be confident in their right to disqualify members whose conduct has shown them to be unequal to fulfilling the responsibilities vested in them by the electorate.
- 50. Suspension has the effect of temporarily depriving the electorate of local representation whereas disqualification triggers a process, either by-election or co-option, to replace the disqualified member.

Timing of local elections

51. In general, the length of a disqualification should be determined in relation to the nature of the breach and circumstances of the case, and be applied irrespective of the imminence or otherwise of local elections. There may be exceptional times when the duration of a disqualification might have a particularly disproportionate effect on the member. For example: a disqualification of 18 months, imposed in December 2020, would prevent a member from standing for local government election until May 2027, as the period of disqualification would overlap the May 2022 elections by one month. Tribunals should be willing to hear submissions as to why the length of disqualification should be varied, whilst bearing in mind the overriding public interest principle.

Automatic disqualifications

52. The law imposes an automatic disqualification for five years on any member who is subject to a term of imprisonment for three months or more (whether suspended or not). That a Court has imposed a lesser sanction does not mean that a five-year disqualification is inappropriate. If the case tribunal is of the view that the member concerned is unfit to hold public office and is unlikely to become fit over the next five years, then it may well be appropriate to impose such a disqualification.

Confirming the sanction

53. Tribunals should confirm their final determination on sanction, notifying the hearing and recording it in the decision notice. Tribunals will make sure that the reasons for their determination, including any significant mitigating and aggravating factors, are included in the full written record of proceedings in

17

¹⁴ http://gov.wales/irpwsub/home/?lang=en

order to ensure that the parties and the public are able to understand its conclusions on sanction.

Recommendations

- 54. Case tribunals also have the power to make recommendations¹⁵ to the relevant authority whose Code it has considered about any matters relating to:
 - the exercise of the authority's functions
 - the authority's Code of Conduct;
 - the authority's Standards Committee.
- 55. The authority to whom the recommendations are made is under a duty to consider them within three months and then prepare a report for the Ombudsman outlining what the action it, or its Standards Committee, has taken or proposes to take. If the Ombudsman is not satisfied with the action taken or proposed, he/she has the power to require the authority to publish a statement giving details of the recommendations made by the case tribunal and of the authority's reasons for not fully implementing them. As such, tribunals are advised to consider their use of this power with care.

Interim case tribunals - determining sanction

- 56. Interim case tribunals will decide, after considering a report (including any recommendation) from the Ombudsman on an ongoing investigation into alleged misconduct, whether to suspend or partially suspend, the member or co-opted member from the authority or a role within the authority.
- 57. Unlike case and appeal tribunals, interim case tribunals are not disciplinary. Interim case tribunals aim to:
 - facilitate the Ombudsman's effective and expeditious investigation of the respondent's conduct;
 - minimise any disruption to the business of the authority concerned during the investigation;
 - maintain the reputation of the authority concerned;
 - protect the authority concerned from legal challenge.
- 58. The powers available to an interim case tribunal¹⁶ are to suspend the Respondent, wholly or partially from being a member or co-opted member of the authority concerned, for not more than six months (or, if shorter, the remainder of the member's term of office). In the case of a partial suspension, the interim case tribunal will need to decide from what activity the respondent is to be suspended.

18

¹⁵ Section 80, http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/22/section/80

¹⁶ Section 78(1), Local Government Act 2000

Purpose and process

- 59. Interim case tribunals recognise that no definitive finding has yet been made on the validity of the allegations about the Respondent and that any form of suspension can have a significant impact on a member's role, credibility and finances.
- 60. Interim case tribunals will therefore seek to take the minimum action necessary to ensure the effective completion of the investigation, the proper functioning of the authority concerned and the maintenance of public confidence. The tribunal will only decide on full suspension if its aims cannot be met otherwise.

The nature of the allegation(s)

61. Interim case tribunals will start by considering the nature of the allegations against the Respondent in order to decide whether, if the allegation were substantiated, a suspension or partial suspension would be an appropriate sanction.

No action

- 62. If the tribunal concludes that neither suspension nor partial suspension would follow a finding of breach, it is highly unlikely to make such an order without compelling reasons as to why the Ombudsman's investigation cannot effectively proceed without such action.
- 63. If the tribunal concludes that a finding on breach would result in a suspension or partial suspension, it will still require a compelling argument that it is in the public interest for a suspension or partial suspension of the Respondent in advance of the Ombudsman completing his investigation and referring a final report to the Adjudication Panel for Wales.

Partial Suspension

- 64. Partial suspension offers the possibility of safeguarding public confidence in an authority and enabling it to function effectively without depriving the member's constituents of ward representation. Interim case tribunals may wish to draw on the principles that apply to case and appeal tribunals' approach to partial suspension.
- 65. Partial suspension may be appropriate in circumstances where the allegations are directly relevant to and inconsistent with a specific function or area of responsibility held or the Respondent exercises executive functions for the authority whose Code s/he is alleged to have breached or— the Respondent may be excluded from their specific or executive responsibilities in order to reassure the public whilst not undermining the authority's ability to function effectively or depriving the electorate of their division/ward representation.

Suspension

- 66. Suspension is likely to be appropriate if there is a legitimate concern as to any of the following:
 - the Respondent may interfere with evidence or with witnesses relevant to the matter under investigation;
- the business of the authority concerned cannot carry on effectively if the Respondent were to continue in office whilst the allegation against him or her remained unresolved – the tribunal will have particular regard to any breakdown or potential breakdown in relations between the Respondent, other members and/or key staff of the authority;
- the allegations raise issues of such gravity that they jeopardise public confidence in the authority concerned if the Respondent were to continue in office whilst the allegations remained unresolved.

Annex: other documents and guidance relevant to tribunals

Adjudication Panel for Wales: Members Handbook (2017)

Public Services Ombudsman for Wales –The Code of Conduct for members of county and county borough councils, fire and rescue authorities, and national park authorities: Guidance (August 2016) and The Code of Conduct for members of community councils: Guidance (August 2016)

Equal Treatment Bench Book, Judicial College (as amended)

The Adjudications by Case Tribunals and Interim Case Tribunals (Wales Regulations 2001 No. 2288 (W.176), as amended by the Local Authorities (Case and Interim Case Tribunals and Standards Committees) (Amendment) (Wales) Regulations 2009 2578 (W. 209)

The Local Government Investigations (Functions of Monitoring Officers and Standards Committee) (Wales) Regulations 2001 No. 2281 (W171), as amended by the Local Government (Standards Committees, Investigations, Dispensations and Referral) (Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2016 No. 85 (W.39)